

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/720,035	11/21/2003	Francis Yu-Hei Tsang	35811-00001	2542
7:	90 07/11/2005		EXAM	INER
Docketing Department			GREENE, DANIEL LAWSON	
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Suite 4100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1801 California St. Denver, CO 80202			3663	
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/720,035	TSANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel L. Greene Jr.	3641
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No.</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-78 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-78 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the contract of the contract	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/720,035 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-29 drawn to an apparatus (nuclear voltaic cell), classified in class
     376, subclass 320.
  - II. Claims 30-45 and 49-55 drawn to an apparatus (nuclear voltaic cell array), classified in class 376, subclass 320.
  - III. Claims 46-48 and 56-63, drawn to an apparatus (nuclear reactor core), classified in class 376, subclass 179.
  - IV. Claims 64-78, drawn to a method, classified in class 376, subclass 402.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
  - a. Inventions (III) and (I, II) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed as shown by, for example, claim 56, which is an evidence claim showing that the combination does not require all the details of the subcombination, as set forth, for patentability. The subcombination also has separate utility such as a flashlight battery.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,035

Art Unit: 3641

b. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed, as shown by, for example, claim 49, which is an evidence claim showing that the combination does not require all the details of the subcombination, as set forth, for patentability. The subcombination also has separate utility such as a flashlight or hearing aid battery.

Page 3

c. Inventions I-III and IV are related as apparatus and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as **indirectly** converting nuclear fission energy into electrical energy.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,035

Art Unit: 3641

3. <u>Upon election of one of the inventions I-IV above</u>, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear generic.)

3a. The embodiment wherein the semiconductor is a p-type semiconductor as set forth in claim 3.

Page 4

- 3b. The embodiment wherein the semiconductor is an n-type semiconductor as set forth in claim 4.
- 4. <u>Upon election of one of the inventions I-IV above</u>, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear generic.)
  - 4a. The embodiment wherein the nuclear material is deposited on a layer of substrate as set forth, for example, in claim 1.
  - 4b. The embodiment wherein the nuclear material is in solution with the semiconductor material as set forth, for example, in claim 16.
- 5. <u>Upon election of one of the inventions I-IV above</u>, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear generic.)
  - 5a. The embodiment wherein the metallic contact layer forms a low resistance contact as set forth in claim 1 and page 12 of the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,035

Art Unit: 3641

5b. The embodiment wherein the metallic contact layer forms an Ohmic contact as set forth in claim 1 and page 12 of the specification.

Page 5

6. Upon election of one of the inventions I-IV above, the applicant is further required, under 35 U.S.C. 121, to elect a single species of the semiconductor material (e.g. selenium only, the combination of selenium and tellurium only, etc.) for the purpose of examination. This additional requirement is to facilitate examining due to the broad range of materials or compositions that are included in phrase "semiconductor material", see for example page 14 ,lines 1-11 and page 15, lines 12-14 of the specification.

NOTE: In regard to the single species election of species, the election should not be open-ended (i.e. comprising). An open-ended election will be considered non-responsive; therefore the election must be closed ended (i.e. consisting of).

- 7. <u>Upon election of one of the inventions I-IV above</u>, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear generic.)
  - 7a. The embodiment wherein the nuclear material is fissile as set forth, for example, on page 1 of the specification.
  - 7b. The embodiment wherein the nuclear material is non-fissile as set forth, for example, on page 1 of the specification.

Art Unit: 3641

8. <u>Upon election of **ONLY species 7a** above</u>, the applicant is further required to elect, under 35 U.S.C. 121, a single species of fissile material (e.g. Uranium ONLY, thorium ONLY, the combination of Uranium and Plutonium ONLY, etc.) for the purpose of examination. This additional requirement is to facilitate examining due to the broad range of materials or compositions that can be included in applicants nuclear voltaic cell, e.g. see for example page 1 of the specification.

NOTE: In regard to single species election of species, the election should not be open-ended (i.e. comprising). An open-ended election will be considered non-responsive; therefore the election must be closed ended (i.e. consisting of, is only, etc.). For example, the embodiment wherein the fissile material consists of uranium only, or the embodiment wherein the fissile material consists of the combination of plutonium and uranium only, etc.

- 9. Upon election of ONLY species 7b above, the applicant is further required, under 35 U.S.C. 121, to elect a single species of the type of radioactive isotope (e.g. alpha particle emitter only, beta particle emitter only, the combination of gamma particle and beta particle emitter only, etc.) for the purpose of examination. This additional requirement is to facilitate examining due to the broad range of materials or compositions that can be included in applicant's nuclear voltaic cell, see for example claim 13 and page 16 of the specification.
- 10. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement <u>must include an</u>

  identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and
  a listing of ALL claims readable upon the election thereof, including any claims

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 11. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 12. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Greene Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-6876. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on (571) 272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DIG July 6, 2005

HARVEY BEHREND PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 8